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Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk
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## Glossary for Mark Schemes

GCSE examinations are marked in such a way as to award positive achievement wherever possible. Thus, for GCSE Statistics papers, marks are awarded under various categories.

If a student uses a method which is not explicitly covered by the mark scheme the same principles of marking should be applied. Credit should be given to any valid methods. Examiners should seek advice from their senior examiner if in any doubt.

M Method marks are awarded for a correct method which could lead to a correct answer.

A

B
ft

SC Special case. Marks awarded for a common misinterpretation which has some mathematical worth.

M dep A method mark dependent on a previous method mark being awarded.

B dep A mark that can only be awarded if a previous independent mark has been awarded.
oe $\quad$ Or equivalent. Accept answers that are equivalent. eg accept 0.5 as well as $\frac{1}{2}$
[a, b] Accept values between a and b inclusive.
[a, b) $\quad$ Accept values $\mathrm{a} \leq$ value $<\mathrm{b}$
3.14... Accept answers which begin 3.14 eg 3.14, 3.142, 3.1416

Use of brackets It is not necessary to see the bracketed work to award the marks.

Examiners should consistently apply the following principles

## Diagrams

Diagrams that have working on them should be treated like normal responses. If a diagram has been written on but the correct response is within the answer space, the work within the answer space should be marked. Working on diagrams that contradicts work within the answer space is not to be considered as choice but as working, and is not, therefore, penalised.

## Responses which appear to come from incorrect methods

Whenever there is doubt as to whether a student has used an incorrect method to obtain an answer, as a general principle, the benefit of doubt must be given to the student. In cases where there is no doubt that the answer has come from incorrect working then the student should be penalised.

## Questions which ask students to show working

Instructions on marking will be given but usually marks are not awarded to students who show no working.

## Questions which do not ask students to show working

As a general principle, a correct response is awarded full marks.

## Misread or miscopy

Students often copy values from a question incorrectly. If the examiner thinks that the student has made a genuine misread, then only the accuracy marks (A or B marks), up to a maximum of 2 marks are penalised. The method marks can still be awarded.

## Further work

Once the correct answer has been seen, further working may be ignored unless it goes on to contradict the correct answer.

## Choice

When a choice of answers and/or methods is given, mark each attempt. If both methods are valid then M marks can be awarded but any incorrect answer or method would result in marks being lost.

## Work not replaced

Erased or crossed out work that is still legible should be marked.

## Work replaced

Erased or crossed out work that has been replaced is not awarded marks.

## Premature approximation

Rounding off too early can lead to inaccuracy in the final answer. This should be penalised by 1 mark unless instructed otherwise.

## Continental notation

Accept a comma used instead of a decimal point (for example, in measurements or currency), provided that it is clear to the examiner that the student intended it to be a decimal point.

| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\frac{1}{16}$ |  | B1 |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Skewness | B1 |  |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 61 | B1 |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Reliability | B1 |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a) | Insufficient sample size <br> or <br> Gender (possibly) irrelevant <br> or <br> Unequal numbers of males and females <br> or <br> Data for one female is repeated (it seems) | B1 |  |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Only from some classmates |  |  | B1 |
|  | Hasn't included everyone in the class |  |  | B1 |



| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a) | Most/more people are against HS2 (than in favour of it) | B1 | oe hypothesis (not question) |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Most people will have negative opinions about HS2 |  |  | B1 |
|  | People are against HS2 |  |  | B1 |
|  | More older people are against HS2 than younger people |  |  | B1 |
|  | HS2 will be disliked (by locals) |  |  | B1 |
|  | The reason people oppose HS2 is b | se it affe | the countryside | B1 |
|  | Many people are unhappy with HS2 |  |  | B1 |
|  | HS2 will affect the countryside |  |  | B0 |
|  | HS2 will affect housing |  |  | B0 |
|  | HS2 doesn't affect the environment |  |  | B0 |
|  | HS2 will affect house prices |  |  | B0 |
|  | I/Tom believe(s) most people are against HS2 |  |  | B0 |
|  | HS2 will ruin the countryside. Most people will use HS2 |  |  | B0 |
|  | The sacrifice of the countryside is worth less than HS2 |  |  | B0 |




| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(d) | Alternative method 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Number the stations (0)1 to 29 | B1 | oe |  |
|  | Obtain five (two-digit) random numbers from the internet or other source to obtain the stations, disregarding repeats | B1 | oe <br> eg obtain five different/unique numbers using random number generator |  |
|  | Alternative method 2 |  |  |  |
|  | Put all 29 station names in a hat | B1 | oe |  |
|  | Draw out five at random without replacement | B1 | oe <br> eg draw out five different/unique names |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Accept random name generator if ju eg Type all 29 names into random names without repeats | ng name generat | obtain five | B2 |
|  | Number the stations |  |  | B0 |
|  | Pick five using random number gen |  |  | B0 |
|  | Put 29 stations/names in a hat |  |  | B1 |
|  | Put all the names in a hat |  |  | B1 |
|  | Put names in a hat |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{*} \mathbf{6}(\mathrm{e})$ (i) | Convenience | B1 | accept Opportunity or Judgement |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Accept poor spellings | B0 |  |  |
|  | Opportunity and systematic on answer line |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 ( e ) ( i i ) ~}$ | Will be asking rail travellers <br> or <br> quick/convenient/easy/cheap/efficient | B1 | oe <br> do not accept "convenient" here if <br> "convenience" given in e(i) |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(e)(iii) | Will not be asking (m)any non-rail travellers | B1 | oe comment that suggest widening the sample frame |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | May not be / is not representative |  |  | B1 |
|  | More likely to support HS2 |  |  | B1 |
|  | Only on Saturday afternoon |  |  | B1 |
|  | Need to go on different days / at dif | time |  | B1 |
|  | Might all be from same train/group |  |  | B1 |
|  | Biased as the arrival time could be | able |  | B1 |
|  | Biased |  |  | B0 |
|  | Might all be male/female |  |  | B0 |
|  | Not asked the whole population |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(e)(iv) | Many people are affected in other places (without stations) | B1 | oe |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | So it's (more) representative of the | ation |  | B1 |
|  | To get more opinions |  |  | B1 |
|  | To broaden the data |  |  | B1 |
|  | To compare data (of those who hav | ation with | ose that don't) | B1 |
|  | Those that won't have a station will | have a diff | ent opinion | B1 |
|  | (Those) people will/may have differ | inions |  | B1 |
|  | They'd have an unbiased opinion |  |  | B0 |
|  | Need everyone's opinion |  |  | B0 |
|  | To avoid bias |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 ( f ) ( i )}$ | Dual bar chart | B1 | accept multiple bar chart |


| Q | Answer | Marks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(f)(ii) | $[124,129] \text { and }[64,69]$ or $[124,129]-60$ <br> or $[64,69]+60$ | M1 | accept in hour <br> please check | units stated) <br> for workings |
|  | Yes ticked, and correct subtraction of their values in range <br> or <br> Yes ticked and [124, 129]-60, with correct answer, compared to [64, 69] or <br> Yes ticked and [64, 69] +60 , with correct answer, compared to [124, 129] | A1 |  |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | 127 and 65 seen. Yes, 62 minutes is about an hour (subtraction implied) |  |  | M1A1 |
|  | 127 and 65 seen. Yes, it is about an hour (answer to subtraction not seen) |  |  | M1A0 |
|  | $127-65=62$ (no decision) |  |  | M1A0 |
|  | It is 59 minutes which is about an hour so Li Na is correct (no evidence) |  |  | MOAO |



| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 7(a) | $[1978,1980]$ | B1 | must be a year (natural number) |
|  | $[250,260]$ or [2500, 3200] | B1 | accept monthly or annual total |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | Do not allow any follow through from the year to the estimate |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(b) | Reference to cyclic nature of data, eg roughly every $[10,12]$ years there is a peak (trough) in the number of sunspots | B1 | oe |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | The number of sunspots has decreased over the years |  |  | B0 |
|  | There are peaks and troughs |  |  | B0 |
|  | The data follows a pattern of up and down variation |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 7(c) | Calculate (or plot) 12-point moving <br> averages | B2 | B1 reference to moving averages <br> but not 12-point <br> accept 'rolling average' for 'moving <br> average' |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 54 in the D only area | B1 |  |
|  | 1 in the area outside the three circles | B1 |  |
|  | 0 in the central intersection of all three circles | B1 |  |
|  | 21 in BnDnL' and 21 in LnDnB' | B1 |  |
|  | A total of 3 for the three numbers in the top three areas | B1ft | ft 100 - the sum of their five values |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | Their 5 values must be integers (not negative) for the follow through mark |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9(a) | Alternative method 1 |  |  |
|  | $\frac{1}{5}$ | M1 |  |
|  | $\frac{1}{5}+\frac{4}{5} \times \frac{1}{4}$ | M1dep | $\frac{1}{5}+\frac{1}{5}$ or $\frac{1}{5} \times 2$ unsupported is M1 M0 |
|  | $\frac{2}{5}$ | A1 | oe accept $4 / 10=2 / 5$ seen with little or no working |
|  | Alternative method 2 |  |  |
|  | Lists all the possible pairs for the last two players (either 10 unique pairs or 20 with either order) | M2 | M1 lists at least 5 unique pairs or 10 with either order |
|  | $\frac{2}{5}$ | A1 | oe |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9(b)(i) | This uses all the available data <br> or <br> This is a census | B1 |  |  | oe |
|  | Additional Guidance | B0 |  |  |  |
|  | The more data you use the more accurate it is | B0 |  |  |  |
|  | Gives most data |  |  |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9(b)(ii) | Uses more recent data (as it will be more relevant) (for $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ or C ) or <br> Uses a reasonable sample size (for B or C) | B1 | oe |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Do not allow reference to small sample size for $A$ as is this is not an appropriate sample size |  |  |  |
|  | $B$ or $C$ - uses less data |  |  | B1 |
|  | A - uses less data |  |  | B0 |
|  | Condone any reference to $5,20,100$ or all of the games instead of $A, B, C$ or $D$ as the option choice. If an option is not chosen, check the workings space. |  |  |  |



| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10(a)(ii) | The data are discrete | B1 | oe |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10(b) | $($ Median Forest $A)=1$ | B1ft | ft cumulative graph |  |
|  | (IDR Forest A) $=3$ | B1ft | ft cumulative graph |  |
|  | The median is higher so there are more plants (on average) in forest $B$ or <br> There are more plants on average in forest $B$ (as the median is higher) | B1ft | oe |  |
|  | There is a larger/wider spread of the number of plants in Forest $A$ (as the IDR is higher) | B1ft | oe |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | If the median or the IDRs are the same, allow comments that the medians or IDRs are similar |  |  |  |
|  | If the median and/or IDR is correct in the answer space, ignore any contradiction on the graph |  |  |  |
|  | Comparison comments cannot be awarded if there are no median or IDR scores calculated |  |  |  |
|  | Answers should include an interpretation of the median / IDR in context. Plants must be seen in either response. |  |  |  |
|  | They cannot compare the range/IQR instead of the IDR or the mean instead of the median. |  |  |  |
|  | Accept reference to units |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore irrelevant comments as long as not contradictory. eg There are more plants in Forest B as the median and IDR are higher |  |  | B1 |
|  | The number of plants in Forest $A$ is less consistent/less varied |  |  | B1 |
|  | There are more plants in Forest $B$ |  |  | B0 |
|  | There is a larger median of plants in Forest $B$ |  |  | B0 |
|  | There is a larger range of plants in Forest $A$ |  |  | B0 |
|  | The average is higher in Forest $B$ (no context) |  |  | B0 |
|  | The spread is larger in Forest A (no context) |  |  | B0 |




| Q | Answer | Marks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(c)(i) | (4.01) is the expected mass/weight in kg of a baby born on its due date | B1 | oe 4010 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Units of mass must be seen |  |  |  |
|  | Condone reference to a new-born to mean born on its due date |  |  |  |
|  | It is the initial/starting/beginning mass/weight in kg of the baby |  |  | B1 |
|  | It is the initial/starting/beginning mass/weight of the baby |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(c)(ii) | (0.04) is the increase in the baby's mass in kg for every additional day | B1 | oe 40 g |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Units of mass must be seen |  |  |  |
|  | It is how much the baby's mass/weight increases in kg per day |  |  | B1 |
|  | How much the mass/weight changes per day |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(c)(iii) | Line of best fit that is <br> - from $x$ values of -49 to 10 <br> - straight <br> - passes through (-40, [2.3,2.5]) and (0, 4.01) and (10, [4.3.4.5]) | B2 | B1 a straight line with a positive gradient that passes through ( 0 , 4.01) <br> strict $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ square tolerance for plotting ( $0,4.01$ ) |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | For the line of best fit, mark intention to be straight |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11(d) | Sam can be estimated as it is interpolation | B1 | oe accept yes/valid with justification e.g. It is within the range of the data |  |
|  | their value from their line of best fit or $3.4(1) \mathrm{kg}$ | B1ft | oe <br> must be straight line if using their line of best fit |  |
|  | Nim should not be estimated as her data are outside the range (of the scatter graph)/ it would be extrapolation | B1 | oe <br> accept no/invalid with justification condone saying cannot be estimated <br> condone the mention that it can be estimated but it would be unreliable due to extrapolation oe do not accept 'it goes off the graph' |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Check the graph for workings and a | timate |  |  |
|  | For the interpolation comment, allow | ntion of | ability for yes |  |
|  | Do not penalise if estimates given a | g as un | bility/extrapola | nced |
|  | Yes, interpolation |  |  | B1 |
|  | Yes, it is within the data |  |  | B1 |
|  | Interpolation |  |  | B0 |
|  | Extrapolation comment, allow mention of unreliability for no |  |  |  |
|  | No, the trend/pattern may not continue |  |  | B1 |
|  | The trend/pattern may not continue |  |  | B0 |
|  | There is no data at that point |  |  | B0 |
|  | The line (of best fit) does not go that far |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12(a) | Alternative method 1 |  |  |
|  | $800 \times 50$ or 40000 | M1 |  |
|  | $0.96 \times 800$ or 768 | M1 | oe |
|  | their $768 \times 300$ or 230400 | M1dep | oe dep on $2^{\text {nd }}$ method mark |
|  | their 230400 - their 40000 | M1dep | oe dep on $3^{\text {rd }}$ method mark |
|  | 190400 | A1 |  |
|  | Alternative method 2 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \times 800 \text { or } 768 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 0.04 \times 800 \text { or } 32 \end{aligned}$ | M1 | oe |
|  | their $768 \times 250$ or 192000 | M1dep | oe dep on $1^{\text {st }}$ method mark |
|  | their (800 - their 768 ) $\times 50$ or 1600 | M1dep | oe dep on $1^{\text {st }}$ method mark |
|  | their 192000 - their 1600 | M1dep | oe dep on all previous method marks |
|  | 190400 | A1 |  |
|  | Alternative method 3 |  |  |
|  | $0.96 \times 250$ or 240 | M1 | oe |
|  | $0.04 \times 50$ or 2 | M1 | oe |
|  | their (240-2) or 238 | M1dep | oe dep on M1M1 |
|  | their (240-2) or $238 \times 800$ | M1dep | oe dep on $3^{\text {rd }}$ method mark |
|  | 190400 | A1 |  |
|  | Alternative method 4 |  |  |
|  | $0.04 \times 300$ or 12 | M1 | oe |
|  | their (250-12) or 238 | M2dep | oe eg 300-62 |
|  | their $238 \times 800$ | M1dep | oe dep on M3 |
|  | 190400 |  |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | There may be an attempt at more than one alternative method. Award the highest mark(s) |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12(b)(i) | It is poor practice to take 5 in a row or <br> The sample needs to be spread out more | B1 | oe |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | This is more about 5 in a row and not a poor sample size |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore any reference to other sampling methods |  |  |  |
|  | Not effective as 5 were chosen one after another |  |  | B1 |
|  | Poor sample size |  |  | B0 |
|  | Small sample so not representative/reliable |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 12(b)(ii) | $0.96^{4} \times 0.04$ or $0.0339(7 \ldots)$ | M1 | oe |
|  | $5 \times 0.96^{4} \times 0.04$ or $5 \times 0.0339(7 \ldots)$ | M1dep |  |
|  | 0.17 or better | A1 | oe $0.169(869 \ldots)$ |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | If 0.17 or $0.169(869 \ldots)$ seen with no incorrect working scores full marks |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13(a) | Positive correlation between the marks scored on the two papers | B1 | oe <br> eg positive agreement/ relationship/association between the rankings of the marks. <br> eg students who did well on one paper, tended to do well on the other |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore any adjectives describing the strength of the relationship |  |  |  |
|  | Some context should be included, eg reference to marks or papers |  |  |  |
|  | They do well on both tests |  |  | B0 |
|  | They do well |  |  | B0 |
|  | Positive correlation |  |  | B0 |
|  | The papers are closely related |  |  | B0 |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 13(b)(i) | Will be nearer to 1 or increases | B1 | oe |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13(b)(ii) | $1-\frac{6\left(\sum\right) d^{2}}{5(25-1)}=0.8$ | M1 | oe for forming a correct equation accept any variable for $d$ or $\left(\sum\right) d^{2}$ |
|  | $\left(\sum\right) d^{2}=4$ | A1 | accept any variable for $d$ or $\left(\sum\right) d^{2}$ |
|  | $(1-) \frac{6 \times \text { their } 4}{6(36-1)}$ | M1dep | condone $\frac{6 \times(\text { their } d)^{2}}{6(36-1)}$ must be clear what their $\sum d^{2}$ or $d$ is |
|  | [0.88, 0.9] or $\frac{31}{35}$ | A1ft | oe <br> ft for their $\sum d^{2}$ (must be $\geq 0$ ) provided $0<$ SRCC $<1$ |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | For full marks, condone $1-\frac{6 \times 2^{2}}{6(36-1)}=[0.88,0.9]$ or $\frac{31}{35}$ |  |  |
|  | If ( $1-$ ) is seen then it must be in the correct place in the formula for both $M$ marks eg $\frac{1-6 \sum d^{2}}{5(25-1)}(=0.8)$ scores MOM0 unless recovered |  |  |



| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14(b) | (Median =) 2 | B1 |  |
|  | (Mean =) 5.1 | B1 |  |
|  | $1^{2}+1^{2}+3^{2}+\ldots$ <br> or $\sum x^{2}=927$ | M1 | workings may not be seen if calculator used |
|  | (standard deviation $=$ ) 8.166 $\ldots$ | A1 | 8.2 or better |
|  | Substitution of their values into the skew formula | M1dep | dep on M1 |
|  | $1.138 \ldots(=1.14)$ <br> or $1.139 \text { ( = 1.14) }$ | A1 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | Do not allow any values substituted into the skew formula unless correct values/workings seen |  |  |
|  | Check the list of data values for evidence of workings to find the mean/median. Allow any indication of the median <br> eg crossings out/circling |  |  |
|  | If candidates work backwards from +1.14 , the maximum score possible is for correctly calculating the median and/or mean |  |  |
|  | For the $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{A} 1$, they must show at least 3 decimal places |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Marks | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14(c) | C | B1 |  |


[^0]:    Copyright information
    AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

    Copyright © 2022 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

